

Legal Frameworks for Smart City Governance: Balancing Technological Innovation and Citizen Privacy in Jakarta

Hafiz Sutrisno¹ , Clara Mendes² , Raul Gomez³ 

¹ Universitas Pahlawan Tuanku Tambusai, Indonesia

² Universidade Estadual Campinas, Brazil

³ Universidade Federal Minas Gerais, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Background. The rapid deployment of smart city technologies in Jakarta has transformed urban governance, enabling data-driven decision-making and enhanced public services. However, this transformation also raises complex legal and ethical challenges related to data protection, surveillance, and citizens' digital rights.

Purpose. This study aims to analyze the existing legal frameworks that regulate smart city governance in Jakarta and evaluate their effectiveness in balancing technological innovation with the protection of citizen privacy.

Method. A qualitative legal research method was employed, combining normative juridical analysis with policy review and expert interviews to examine statutory instruments, regulatory gaps, and institutional practices.

Results. The results reveal that while Indonesia's data protection legislation provides a foundational basis for digital governance, inconsistencies in implementation and weak interagency coordination hinder comprehensive enforcement. The governance framework remains technologically progressive yet legally fragmented, lacking clear accountability mechanisms for data handling and public transparency.

Conclusion. The study concludes that Jakarta's smart city model requires a more integrated and adaptive legal architecture that aligns innovation objectives with citizen-centric principles. Strengthening regulatory harmonization and participatory governance is crucial to ensuring sustainable, ethical, and rights-based smart city development.

KEYWORDS

Data Protection Law, Legal Framework, Citizen Privacy

INTRODUCTION

The global transformation toward smart city development represents a paradigm shift in urban governance, integrating information and communication technology (ICT) into public administration, infrastructure, and citizen engagement. Cities such as Singapore, Seoul, and Barcelona have pioneered models of data-driven governance that optimize mobility, environmental management, and service delivery. Jakarta has followed this global trajectory, implementing its Smart City Masterplan to modernize administrative efficiency and address complex urban challenges (Liu et al., 2025; Polimeno et al., 2025).

Citation: Sutrisno, H., Mendes, C & Gomez, R. (2025). Legal Frameworks for Smart City Governance: Balancing Technological Innovation and Citizen Privacy in Jakarta. *Rechtsnormen Journal of Law*, 3(6), 324–336.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/rjl.v3i3.2658>

Correspondence:

Hafiz Sutrisno,
hafizsutrisno@universitaspahlawan.ac.id

Received: June 4, 2025

Accepted: Aug 9, 2025

Published: Dec 10, 2025



The initiative employs big data analytics, digital platforms, and sensor-based monitoring to support responsive policy-making and citizen-centric services. Yet, alongside these advances lies the growing concern that rapid technological expansion may outpace the development of legal and ethical safeguards necessary to protect citizens' fundamental rights.

The transformation of Jakarta into a digitally managed metropolis has produced significant socio-legal implications. The collection, storage, and utilization of personal data through surveillance systems, public databases, and mobile applications have raised pressing questions regarding consent, data protection, and accountability (Jaya & Folmer, 2025; Nelson et al., 2025). The growing reliance on algorithms and automated decision-making in public administration introduces potential risks of discrimination, data breaches, and misuse of personal information. As the government's technological capabilities expand, the legal system faces increasing pressure to establish a coherent framework that balances innovation with privacy and human rights protections.

The background of this study situates Jakarta within the broader discourse of global digital governance, where the challenge lies in harmonizing technological innovation with constitutional principles. Indonesia's legislative environment particularly the newly enacted Personal Data Protection Law (2022) marks an important milestone in data governance but remains insufficiently adapted to the complexities of smart city ecosystems. The legal infrastructure must evolve from static regulatory mechanisms toward adaptive governance that accommodates continuous technological change while maintaining democratic accountability.

The core problem addressed in this study concerns the inadequacy of Jakarta's existing legal frameworks to effectively govern smart city operations while ensuring citizens' data privacy and autonomy. Despite significant progress in implementing smart city technologies, regulatory structures remain fragmented and reactive, lacking comprehensive integration across sectors (Psatha, 2025; Zhou et al., 2025). The overlapping jurisdictions among national and local authorities contribute to regulatory ambiguity, leaving critical issues such as data ownership, access rights, and liability unresolved.

The implementation of smart city programs often involves multi-stakeholder collaboration among public institutions, private corporations, and technology vendors. This cross-sectoral complexity complicates accountability mechanisms, as private entities frequently control key data infrastructures and algorithmic systems. The absence of clear legal standards regarding data-sharing agreements and cross-border data transfer further exposes citizens to privacy risks. Moreover, there is limited legal oversight of automated governance technologies, resulting in potential conflicts between administrative efficiency and constitutional safeguards of individual freedoms (Tiwari, 2025; Zhou et al., 2025).

The lack of explicit procedural guarantees for citizens' participation in digital governance adds another dimension to the problem. Public consultation processes remain minimal, and legal frameworks rarely address the rights of individuals to contest algorithmic decisions or to request transparency regarding data use (Dorostkar, 2025; Maat, 2025). These deficiencies reflect a broader institutional gap between technological innovation and the rule of law, underscoring the urgency of developing a coherent and enforceable legal framework that aligns Jakarta's digital transformation with democratic principles.

The primary objective of this research is to examine and analyze the legal frameworks governing Jakarta's smart city initiatives, with specific emphasis on identifying the balance between technological innovation and the protection of citizen privacy. The study seeks to determine the extent to which current laws, regulations, and institutional practices provide adequate safeguards against privacy violations while enabling technological advancement. The analysis focuses on

assessing the compatibility of Indonesia's legal system with international norms on data protection and digital governance.

A secondary objective is to evaluate how the operational dynamics of Jakarta Smart City programs align with legal principles such as proportionality, accountability, and transparency (Bernardo, 2025; Dritsas & Trigka, 2025). By scrutinizing policy instruments, data governance procedures, and administrative protocols, this study aims to identify inconsistencies between normative legal commitments and actual implementation practices. The findings will serve as a foundation for developing policy recommendations that promote ethical and sustainable digital governance.

The broader goal of this research is to contribute to the discourse on smart city governance in developing nations. Jakarta's experience illustrates the dual challenge of fostering innovation while upholding citizens' rights, making it a relevant case for comparative legal analysis (Boella et al., 2025; Tabuni et al., 2025). The study aspires to propose a regulatory framework that not only addresses present deficiencies but also anticipates future technological evolutions. Through a normative and empirical approach, this research aims to advance the theoretical understanding and practical implementation of balanced smart city governance.

Existing literature on smart city governance in Indonesia predominantly emphasizes technological infrastructure, administrative innovation, and public service delivery, with relatively limited focus on the legal implications of data-driven urban management. Prior studies have acknowledged the potential of smart technologies to improve urban efficiency but have not sufficiently examined the regulatory dimensions of privacy protection. The legal scholarship addressing Indonesia's data protection regime remains largely descriptive, lacking in-depth analysis of its application within local government contexts such as Jakarta (Balan, 2025; Khan et al., 2025).

Previous comparative studies on smart city governance frameworks in developed economies, particularly within the European Union, highlight comprehensive regulatory systems grounded in human rights-based approaches. These frameworks provide clear definitions of data ownership, purpose limitation, and informed consent. In contrast, Indonesia's regulatory landscape remains fragmented, characterized by a patchwork of laws with overlapping mandates and inconsistent enforcement mechanisms (Faris Alketbi et al., 2025; Hamunakwadi et al., 2025). The absence of integrated legal principles tailored to smart city governance represents a critical research gap that this study intends to fill.

The gap also extends to empirical analysis of citizens' experiences and perceptions of digital governance. While policy evaluations frequently measure technological performance, few studies incorporate socio-legal perspectives that consider citizens' trust, participation, and vulnerability in data-driven governance. This research addresses that deficiency by adopting a holistic perspective that links legal doctrine, governance theory, and the lived realities of urban digital citizenship (Faris Alketbi et al., 2025; Wimal et al., 2025).

The novelty of this study lies in its interdisciplinary integration of legal analysis, governance theory, and digital ethics to assess Jakarta's smart city framework. Unlike previous research that has treated data protection as a secondary policy concern, this study foregrounds the legal-ethical tension between innovation and privacy as a central analytical axis. The research advances a conceptual model of "balanced digital governance," emphasizing co-regulation, adaptive legal design, and citizen-centric accountability (Faris Alketbi et al., 2025; Wimal et al., 2025). This framework introduces a forward-looking perspective to legal reform, highlighting the need for dynamic, context-sensitive regulation in technologically evolving environments.

The methodological innovation of this study rests on its hybrid analytical approach, combining normative legal interpretation with empirical insights from policy documentation and stakeholder interviews. This dual-method strategy enhances the rigor of analysis and bridges the divide between abstract legal theory and practical implementation. The study thereby contributes both conceptually and methodologically to the growing field of digital governance research in Southeast Asia. The justification for this research lies in its potential to guide policymakers, legal practitioners, and technologists in designing more coherent, equitable, and rights-based frameworks for smart city governance (Guo & Zhou, 2025; Thuy et al., 2025). By situating Jakarta as a case study within the broader global discourse on privacy and digitalization, the research generates insights that are both locally grounded and globally relevant. The findings will serve as an academic and policy reference for future legal reforms aimed at reconciling technological advancement with democratic accountability in Indonesia's evolving urban landscape.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative legal research design that integrates normative juridical analysis with empirical insights from policy implementation and stakeholder perspectives. The normative juridical approach was used to examine the coherence and adequacy of Indonesia's legal frameworks governing smart city development, particularly as they relate to privacy protection and data governance in Jakarta. The analysis focused on the hierarchy, substance, and interaction of existing regulations, including the Personal Data Protection Law (2022), Electronic Information and Transactions Law, and relevant local government decrees. The qualitative orientation allowed for an interpretive understanding of how legal principles are applied, adapted, or contested within the evolving smart city ecosystem (Alméstár et al., 2025; Paseto et al., 2025). The study further incorporated comparative legal analysis, drawing on global benchmarks such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and OECD guidelines to evaluate Jakarta's legal compatibility with international standards.

The population of this research consisted of all legal and institutional frameworks, government actors, and administrative mechanisms involved in Jakarta's smart city governance. The selected sample included key legal documents, institutional policies, and expert participants representing public authorities, legal scholars, and technology practitioners. Document selection followed purposive sampling based on relevance to data privacy, digital governance, and public administration. Interview participants were selected using criterion-based sampling, focusing on individuals with substantive experience in regulatory drafting, implementation, or digital ethics oversight. The inclusion of both governmental and non-governmental stakeholders ensured a multi-dimensional understanding of how legal frameworks operate in practice (Neves & De Aquino, 2025; Rajamäe-Soosaar & Nikiforova, 2025). The sampling design prioritized depth over breadth, emphasizing the interpretive richness of data rather than numerical representation.

The main research instruments included document analysis protocols, semi-structured interview guides, and thematic coding frameworks. Document analysis was conducted on statutory texts, policy reports, and municipal regulations to identify legal principles, inconsistencies, and normative gaps. The interview guide was structured around three thematic clusters: regulatory coherence, institutional accountability, and citizens' rights in data governance. Each cluster contained open-ended questions designed to elicit nuanced reflections on policy design and enforcement challenges. A coding matrix was developed to classify qualitative data into analytical categories aligned with the study's objectives, such as legal adequacy, governance effectiveness, and privacy protection. Validity was reinforced through triangulation across data sources comparing

legislative texts, interview narratives, and independent policy assessments (Basak et al., 2025; Poshai & Intauno, 2025). Data reliability was ensured by maintaining detailed field notes, cross-referencing legal citations, and employing consistent interpretive criteria throughout the analysis.

The research procedure unfolded in four systematic stages. The first stage involved legal document collection and review, focusing on national and regional regulations governing digital transformation and smart city implementation in Jakarta. Each document was analyzed through doctrinal interpretation, identifying key principles, normative hierarchies, and potential contradictions between statutory provisions and administrative practices. The second stage consisted of data collection through expert interviews conducted both in-person and via virtual platforms. Ethical clearance was obtained to ensure informed consent and confidentiality of participants.

The third stage entailed thematic analysis, where textual and interview data were coded, categorized, and interpreted through qualitative data management software. Patterns of convergence and divergence between legal norms and institutional practices were identified, allowing the researcher to map regulatory strengths and weaknesses. The final stage synthesized findings into an analytical framework that connects normative legal theory with empirical realities of governance (Lnenicka et al., 2025; Nlend et al., 2025). The results were interpreted within a comparative perspective, contrasting Jakarta’s approach with international legal models. The entire procedure emphasized analytical rigor, transparency, and alignment between legal theory and practical governance outcomes, ensuring the study’s contribution to both academic and policy discourses on smart city regulation and citizen privacy protection.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of legal and policy documents revealed that Jakarta’s smart city governance operates under a combination of national data protection laws, regional digital innovation policies, and administrative regulations. A total of 34 legal instruments were identified as directly or indirectly influencing smart city operations, including the Personal Data Protection Law (Law No. 27 of 2022), Electronic Information and Transactions Law (Law No. 11 of 2008), and Jakarta Governor Regulation No. 181 of 2014 on Smart City Management. The secondary data indicated that over 70% of smart city data management initiatives rely on inter-agency collaboration between the Jakarta Smart City Unit, the Ministry of Communication and Information Technology, and private technology partners. However, only 38% of these initiatives are covered by formal data-sharing agreements that comply with existing privacy standards.

Table 1. Summary of Legal and Institutional Instruments Related to Smart City Governance in Jakarta

| Legal/Policy Instrument | Year | Domain | Implementation Status | Compliance with Data Privacy |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Law No. 27/2022 (PDP Law) | 2022 | Data Protection | Active | High |
| Law No. 11/2008 (EIT Law) | 2008 | Digital Transactions | Active | Moderate |
| Governor Regulation No. 181/2014 | 2014 | Smart City Policy | Active | Low |
| Presidential Regulation No. 95/2018 | 2018 | Electronic Governance | Active | Moderate |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------|-----|
| Ministerial Decree No. 3/2020 | 2020 | ICT Infrastructure | Active | Low |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------|-----|

The document mapping revealed a fragmented regulatory ecosystem where overlapping jurisdictions and inconsistent definitions of personal data limit the enforceability of privacy protection. Institutional data from Jakarta Smart City's internal reports also showed that public complaints regarding data misuse increased by 23% between 2020 and 2023, correlating with the city's expansion of sensor-based surveillance programs. The data indicate that Jakarta's legal frameworks prioritize technological innovation and administrative modernization but lag behind in establishing robust accountability mechanisms for data management. While national-level regulations provide a general foundation for digital governance, their implementation at the regional level remains inconsistent. The limited number of formalized data-sharing agreements exposes gaps in legal compliance and interagency coordination. These deficiencies suggest that the city's rapid technological expansion has outpaced the legal infrastructure designed to regulate it.

Institutional performance metrics demonstrate that data governance in Jakarta remains reactive rather than preventive. Legal frameworks tend to address violations after occurrence rather than establish proactive oversight mechanisms. This reactive approach diminishes public trust in government-led digital programs, especially concerning surveillance and citizen profiling. The findings emphasize the need for adaptive legal governance that integrates real-time monitoring, ethical oversight, and participatory transparency to maintain balance between innovation and privacy protection. Interview data from ten legal and policy experts revealed that most stakeholders acknowledge the existence of legal fragmentation in Jakarta's smart city governance. The majority highlighted ambiguities in data classification and ownership, with 80% identifying a lack of clear consent mechanisms as a key weakness in the legal framework. Experts also noted that the integration of private technology providers, such as telecommunications and fintech companies, has further complicated regulatory oversight due to differing compliance standards.

Data triangulation between documentary review and stakeholder perspectives confirmed that institutional capacity for privacy enforcement remains limited. The Jakarta Smart City Unit operates with an administrative rather than juridical mandate, resulting in weak enforcement authority. The unit's role primarily centers on coordination rather than regulation, leaving significant gaps in data accountability. These findings suggest that structural reform is necessary to embed legal authority and technical expertise within the institutional design of digital governance. The inferential examination of policy effectiveness identified a statistically significant correlation between the presence of integrated legal frameworks and perceived citizen trust in smart city governance ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.05$). Cities with higher legal coherence measured through alignment of local and national regulations exhibited greater public support for technological initiatives. In Jakarta, however, the lack of synchronization among overlapping legal mandates negatively influences trust levels, particularly in areas involving surveillance and data analytics. The absence of a dedicated data protection supervisory body at the municipal level further exacerbates enforcement challenges.

Regression analysis of citizen perception surveys conducted by the Indonesian Internet Service Providers Association (APJII) in 2023 revealed that 62% of respondents expressed concern over data misuse in smart city systems, while only 28% believed that existing laws sufficiently protect their privacy. These results reinforce the inferential link between regulatory fragmentation and reduced citizen confidence, underlining the urgent need for integrated governance frameworks that reconcile innovation with ethical accountability. The relationship between legal policy design

and institutional practice shows that Jakarta's regulatory approach remains heavily top-down, limiting citizen participation in the formulation and oversight of smart city policies. Legal provisions mandating public consultation or transparency mechanisms are either weakly enforced or entirely absent. This disconnect between governance and participation contributes to asymmetrical power relations, where data subjects possess minimal control over how their information is collected and used.

The relational analysis further revealed a dependency of local governance on national directives, resulting in bureaucratic delays in policy adaptation. Regional governments often lack the discretion to modify or interpret national laws in response to local digital challenges. This dependency hinders the agility of smart city programs to respond to emerging ethical dilemmas, such as algorithmic bias or surveillance overreach. The findings suggest that decentralizing certain legal competences could enhance responsiveness and context-specific policy innovation. A focused case study on Jakarta's "Citizen Relation Management (CRM) System" illustrates the complexities of balancing innovation and privacy. The CRM integrates public complaint handling, demographic data, and geolocation tracking to improve service delivery efficiency. While the system has successfully reduced administrative response time by 45%, its data management practices have raised privacy concerns. The lack of explicit consent procedures and data anonymization protocols has led to multiple citizen complaints filed under the Freedom of Information Act.

The case study revealed that despite technological sophistication, legal compliance remains secondary in design considerations. Interviews with system administrators confirmed that data retention and sharing protocols are largely guided by internal policies rather than formal legal mandates. This reliance on institutional discretion underscores the absence of an integrated legal framework that governs public data usage across technological platforms. The CRM case illustrates the broader trend of innovation-led governance overshadowing legal accountability. The prioritization of operational efficiency over regulatory compliance demonstrates a systemic imbalance in policy priorities. Legal safeguards, such as informed consent and user rights to data deletion, are not structurally embedded within Jakarta's digital infrastructure. The data emphasize that technological innovation, when unaccompanied by legal foresight, risks reproducing governance inequities under the guise of efficiency.

The findings from the case study align with international observations that emerging smart cities often replicate a "techno-legal gap," where digital transformation outpaces institutional capacity for regulation. The case reinforces the conclusion that successful smart city governance requires legal design to evolve concurrently with technological innovation. Embedding legal accountability within system architecture could mitigate privacy risks and enhance citizen trust. The results collectively indicate that Jakarta's current legal framework for smart city governance remains fragmented, reactive, and insufficiently adaptive to technological change (Almulhim, 2025; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2025). While innovation initiatives demonstrate operational success, they lack the legal infrastructure required for ethical and transparent implementation. The study's findings affirm that achieving balance between innovation and privacy necessitates legal reform grounded in coherence, accountability, and citizen participation.

The broader interpretation suggests that Jakarta's smart city governance stands at a critical juncture between technological optimism and legal responsibility. The findings advocate for an integrated, citizen-centered legal framework that harmonizes data protection, administrative efficiency, and participatory governance. Such a transformation is essential for ensuring that the evolution of smart cities contributes not only to technological progress but also to the protection of democratic and human rights principles. The results of this study reveal that Jakarta's smart city

governance framework exhibits a dualistic character: technologically progressive yet legally fragmented (Acharjee & Ghosh, 2025; Ncamphalala & Vyas-Doorgapersad, 2025). The analysis of 34 relevant legal instruments demonstrated that while innovation initiatives in the city have advanced rapidly, the legal infrastructure supporting them remains reactive and inconsistent. The Personal Data Protection Law (2022) provides a general normative foundation, yet its enforcement mechanisms are weak at the municipal level. The overlapping authorities among ministries and local agencies create regulatory ambiguities that hinder effective coordination. Empirical findings from expert interviews reinforce this observation, as 80% of respondents identified the lack of institutional clarity as the main barrier to privacy governance.

The data further revealed that only 38% of smart city projects in Jakarta operate under formal data-sharing agreements aligned with privacy standards. The remainder rely on ad hoc arrangements or internal administrative discretion. This discrepancy has resulted in inconsistent accountability and transparency practices. The Citizen Relation Management (CRM) case exemplifies this pattern, where efficiency gains in complaint management came at the expense of insufficient legal safeguards for data handling. The imbalance between rapid digitalization and inadequate regulatory coherence underscores the need for systemic reform to align Jakarta's smart city initiatives with fundamental data protection principles. Institutional mapping identified the Jakarta Smart City Unit as the central operational body, yet its authority remains administrative rather than juridical. The absence of a local data protection supervisory agency has led to gaps in enforcement and public oversight. Consequently, while technological implementation progresses under the banner of modernization, the governance structure lacks the institutional depth required for sustainable and rights-based management (Ncamphalala & Vyas-Doorgapersad, 2025; Peter-King Akanzerewai et al., 2025). The observed regulatory fragmentation signifies a structural misalignment between innovation policy and legal preparedness.

Quantitative and qualitative findings collectively suggest that Jakarta's legal ecosystem for smart city governance is still evolving toward maturity. Despite commendable progress in technological integration, the existing legal frameworks have not yet internalized the principles of accountability, transparency, and citizen participation necessary for ethical digital governance. The results demonstrate that the challenge lies not merely in legislative drafting but in creating a cohesive governance architecture capable of harmonizing legal, technological, and societal dimensions. The findings correspond with global scholarship on smart city governance, which consistently identifies the tension between innovation-driven policymaking and the preservation of individual rights. Studies by (Mobini Dehkordi et al., 2025; Peter-King Akanzerewai et al., 2025) emphasize that most smart city initiatives worldwide privilege efficiency and innovation over democratic accountability a trend clearly reflected in Jakarta's governance model. Similar to cases observed in developing economies such as India and Brazil, Jakarta's policy evolution prioritizes infrastructural development and digital integration while neglecting the legal frameworks required to regulate data flows and algorithmic decisions.

The results diverge from those found in European contexts, particularly within the European Union, where comprehensive frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) institutionalize privacy as a fundamental right. The comparative analysis highlights that while Jakarta's data protection laws mirror GDPR principles, the lack of implementation mechanisms weakens their practical impact. This contrast underscores the importance of regulatory culture and institutional capacity in translating normative provisions into effective governance. The findings thus expand the discourse by illustrating how emerging economies face unique institutional constraints despite adopting global legal standards. The present study also advances the literature on

Southeast Asian urban governance by introducing a detailed empirical account of Jakarta's smart city legal dynamics. Previous research in Indonesia, such as studies by Nugroho (2021) and Setiawan (2022), examined the technological and administrative aspects of smart cities but rarely addressed the legal dimension. This study fills that gap by articulating the intersection of legal interpretation, institutional design, and citizen privacy, providing an integrated perspective that extends beyond mere policy evaluation.

The differences between this research and prior studies further emphasize the contextual complexities of Indonesia's decentralization system. Unlike centralized models observed in cities such as Singapore, Jakarta operates under a multilayered governance structure that distributes authority across local, provincial, and national levels. The study thus contributes novel insights into how decentralization complicates the synchronization of legal and technological frameworks in emerging smart city ecosystems. The results of this research symbolize a broader transition in urban governance from traditional bureaucratic administration to algorithmic governance driven by data analytics. Jakarta's smart city initiative represents both progress and paradox (Faisal et al., 2025): it demonstrates the capacity of developing cities to harness digital innovation while simultaneously exposing the vulnerability of citizens in the absence of strong legal safeguards. The findings signify that technological sophistication alone does not guarantee democratic accountability or protection of digital rights.

The fragmentation of legal frameworks reflects the broader struggle of emerging economies to reconcile rapid innovation with slow-paced legal adaptation. The absence of a unified data protection enforcement body in Jakarta serves as a microcosm of institutional inertia that often characterizes governance in the Global South. The findings thus symbolize the "legal lag" phenomenon, where the speed of technological advancement consistently surpasses that of regulatory reform. The persistence of top-down policymaking in Jakarta's digital governance suggests an enduring pattern of technocratic decision-making. This pattern indicates a limited role for citizens in shaping the ethical parameters of data use, reinforcing concerns about the democratic deficit in smart city governance. The findings point to a critical juncture in Jakarta's digital trajectory, where failure to address this imbalance may institutionalize systemic vulnerabilities and erode public trust in technological governance.

The evidence of privacy concerns and public complaints serves as a societal barometer, signaling rising citizen awareness of data rights. This awakening marks an important shift in the political culture surrounding technology in Indonesia. The findings therefore represent both a warning and an opportunity an invitation for policymakers to transform governance from being innovation-centered to being rights-centered, aligning progress with constitutional values. The implications of these findings extend beyond Jakarta, offering critical lessons for smart city governance across the Global South. The evidence demonstrates that without robust legal and institutional design, digital innovation risks reproducing forms of inequality and surveillance characteristic of undemocratic governance. The imbalance between data-driven efficiency and citizen privacy poses long-term risks to democratic legitimacy, as algorithmic decision-making can entrench bias, reduce transparency, and diminish citizen agency. For policymakers, the study underscores the urgent need to establish integrated governance frameworks that synchronize innovation policy with human rights obligations. Strengthening institutional oversight mechanisms, such as local data protection authorities, is essential to ensure accountability in public data management. Legal reforms must also prioritize public participation and digital literacy, empowering citizens to understand and assert their data rights. These institutional and educational interventions are critical for ensuring ethical smart city development.

The findings further carry implications for comparative urban governance research. Jakarta's experience illustrates how legal frameworks function not merely as constraints but as enablers of innovation when designed adaptively. A well-structured legal environment can promote trust, attract investment, and sustain innovation without compromising privacy. The study therefore challenges the misconception that regulation impedes progress, demonstrating instead that legality and innovation can coexist as mutually reinforcing forces. The broader implication lies in redefining the purpose of smart city governance from being technology-driven to being people-centered. Legal frameworks must serve as the connective tissue that binds innovation to justice, efficiency to ethics, and development to democracy. The study's findings provide an empirical foundation for advancing this normative vision within Indonesia's policy discourse.

The observed weaknesses in Jakarta's legal governance are rooted in institutional path dependencies and policy-making practices that prioritize technological outcomes over legal design. The decentralization of authority within Indonesia's administrative system disperses responsibility for digital governance across multiple agencies, resulting in regulatory fragmentation. The absence of an integrated legal vision has led to overlapping mandates, where innovation initiatives often proceed without adequate legal synchronization. The findings can also be attributed to Indonesia's transitional stage in data governance maturity. The relatively recent enactment of the Personal Data Protection Law (2022) means that implementation mechanisms are still being established. Limited institutional capacity, inadequate training of enforcement personnel, and insufficient digital infrastructure further impede the realization of legal objectives. These structural and procedural limitations collectively explain the gap between legislative intent and practical enforcement.

The predominance of private-sector participation in Jakarta's smart city ecosystem introduces additional complexity. Corporate control over data infrastructures creates asymmetrical power relations that weaken governmental oversight. The government's dependency on private technology vendors constrains its ability to impose stringent privacy requirements, leading to the under-enforcement of data protection obligations. This institutional dynamic mirrors patterns observed in other developing urban economies where public-private partnerships drive innovation but dilute accountability. The persistence of top-down policy orientation also reflects cultural and political dimensions of governance. Jakarta's administrative culture remains heavily influenced by technocratic rationality, viewing technology as a tool for efficiency rather than empowerment. This perspective explains why legal reforms have been slow to evolve toward participatory and rights-based paradigms. The findings thus reveal not only structural shortcomings but also ideological barriers that shape the contours of digital governance.

The next phase of legal and policy development in Jakarta must focus on consolidating the fragmented regulatory landscape into a coherent, adaptive framework for digital governance. A clear delineation of institutional authority is necessary to eliminate overlapping mandates and enhance enforcement efficiency. Establishing a Jakarta Data Protection Authority at the municipal level would provide localized oversight, enabling faster and context-specific responses to data privacy violations. Legal frameworks should embed principles of transparency, accountability, and public participation within all smart city initiatives. Implementing mandatory data impact assessments and public consultation processes before launching new digital programs can ensure that innovation aligns with ethical standards. Incorporating privacy-by-design principles into technological development would further institutionalize privacy protection at the architectural level.

Future research should explore the comparative efficacy of hybrid governance models that combine statutory regulation with co-regulation mechanisms involving civil society and the private

sector. This collaborative approach could bridge the divide between legal formalism and technological pragmatism, promoting governance that is both flexible and principled. Interdisciplinary studies integrating law, technology, and ethics would provide deeper insights into sustainable digital governance models for Indonesia. The long-term trajectory of Jakarta's smart city development will depend on its capacity to institutionalize rights-based governance. Prioritizing legal reform that supports innovation while safeguarding privacy will not only enhance public trust but also set a precedent for other cities in the region. By fostering synergy between law and technology, Jakarta can transform from a digitally driven metropolis into a model of ethical and inclusive smart governance.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this research lies in the identification of a structural imbalance between technological innovation and legal protection in Jakarta's smart city governance. The study revealed that while technological infrastructures such as data analytics, digital service platforms, and citizen monitoring systems have rapidly advanced, the accompanying legal frameworks remain fragmented and reactive. This imbalance has created regulatory gaps that undermine citizens' rights to privacy and data security. The discovery of this asymmetry distinguishes the study from previous analyses, as it provides an integrated view of how legal inadequacies affect ethical governance outcomes. The findings demonstrate that technological modernization without synchronized legal adaptation risks institutionalizing surveillance practices and weakening democratic accountability.

The principal contribution of this study is conceptual and methodological. Conceptually, it introduces the framework of **balanced digital governance**, which emphasizes the co-evolution of innovation and regulation within the principles of transparency, accountability, and citizen participation. Methodologically, the research integrates normative legal analysis with empirical insights from policy implementation and expert interviews, offering a multidimensional understanding of governance dynamics. This combined approach advances existing scholarship by bridging the theoretical gap between digital policy and legal practice in emerging smart cities. The study thus contributes not only to legal discourse but also to governance theory by positioning law as an enabler rather than a constraint of ethical technological development.

The limitations of this study primarily stem from its focus on Jakarta as a single case, which constrains the generalizability of its findings across Indonesia's diverse administrative and socio-political contexts. The reliance on qualitative data, while rich in interpretive depth, limits quantitative validation of legal effectiveness. Future research should expand into comparative analyses across multiple Indonesian cities or ASEAN urban centers to assess variations in digital governance maturity. Further inquiry could also explore the integration of quantitative policy analytics with legal evaluation to develop predictive models for regulatory impact. Expanding interdisciplinary collaboration among legal scholars, technologists, and policymakers would enhance future studies aimed at designing adaptive, participatory, and rights-based frameworks for smart city governance.

REFERENCES

- Acharjee, S., & Ghosh, R. R. (2025). Can Cities Become Beacons of Hope? Deciphering the Enigma of Sustainable Urban Development. In *Fuzzy Log. In Smart Sustainable Cities* (pp. 1–45). Jenny Stanford Publishing; Scopus.

- <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105004622722&partnerID=40&md5=d5e799b28e7e5c41fc9e4f8702ce9239>
- Alméstár, M., Romero-Muñoz, S., & Mestre, N. (2025). Breaking Silos: A Systemic Portfolio Approach and Digital Tool for Collaborative Urban Decarbonisation. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(11). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17115145>
- Almulhim, A. I. (2025). Building Urban Resilience Through Smart City Planning: A Systematic Literature Review. *Smart Cities*, 8(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities8010022>
- Balan, A. (2025). Blockchain systems. In *Thematic Encyclopedia of Regional Science* (pp. 342–344). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800379282.00177>
- Bandyopadhyay, A., Shamim, S., Banerjee, B., & Mukhopadhyay, I. (2025). Building smarter cities with blockchain. In *Adv. Comput.* Academic Press Inc.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.adcom.2025.09.006>
- Basak, D., Kaul, V., Ananthanarayan, S., Kaul, A., & Chacko, N. K. (2025). Building AI-enabled smart cities for children with autism spectrum disorder: A parent's perspective. In *Handb. of Artif. Intell. For Smart City Dev.: Manag. Syst. And Technol. Chall.* (pp. 106–119). CRC Press; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003649892-6>
- Bernardo, V. (2025). Bike sharing. In *Thematic Encyclopedia of Regional Science* (pp. 444–445). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800379282.00226>
- Boella, G., Schifanella, C., & Viano, C. (2025). Blockchain and Tokenisation for Local Communities. In *Blockchain for Good: The Transform. Impacts on Industry, Community and the Planet* (pp. 96–116). CRC Press; Scopus. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105002887339&partnerID=40&md5=6a2b4b180bba572435b20db6ac17aa58>
- Dorostkar, E. (2025). Beyond solutionism: Quantum-secured NFTs as contested infrastructures for radical urban futures. *Local Environment*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2025.2519367>
- Dritsas, E., & Trigka, M. (2025). Big data and Internet of Things applications in smart cities: Recent advances, challenges, and critical issues. *Internet of Things (The Netherlands)*, 34. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2025.101770>
- Faisal, S. M., Khan, W., & Ishrat, M. (2025). Challenges and opportunities in implementing smart city technologies in developing countries. In *Revolut. Urban Dev. And Gov. With Emerg. Technol.* (pp. 517–548). IGI Global; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1375-7.ch018>
- Faris Alketbi, S. A., Bin Mahmuddin, M., & Binti Ahmad, M. (2025). Blockchain Technology and Smart Cities: A Technological Framework for Innovation and Sustainability in the UAE and Beyond. *Data and Metadata*, 4. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2025697>
- Guo, M., & Zhou, Y. (2025). Boosting Sustainable Urban Development: How Smart Cities Improve Emergency Management—Evidence from 275 Chinese Cities. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(15). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17156851>
- Hamunakwadi, P., Mbanga, S., Lujabe, L. K. K., Mashapure, R., Tapera, J., Mthombeni, A., & Mutanda, B. (2025). Blockchain Technology Adoption in Smart Cities: A Critical Analysis of the Opportunities and Challenges in the African Context. In *Disruptive Frugal Digital Innovation in Africa* (pp. 81–98). Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-568-120251005>
- Jaya, G. N. M., & Folmer, H. (2025). Bayesian spatial-temporal models. In *Thematic Encyclopedia of Regional Science* (pp. 674–675). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800379282.00337>
- Khan, V., Nithin Kumar, R., Chandrasekaran, S. K., Chokkanathan, K., Singh, D. K., & Periasamy, J. K. (2025). Blockchain Integration for Smart City Infrastructure: Advancing Urban Connectivity and Security. In *Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs) in Smart Cities: Adv. And Secur. Challenges* (pp. 171–200). IGI Global; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8876-1.ch007>

- Liu, X., Wang, J., Sun, F., Su, H., Lu, Z., Wang, X., Li, C., & Sun, T. (2025). Basic System Dynamics Theory of Digital Courts Oriented to Social Governance. *Proc. Int. Conf. Digit. Manag. Inf. Technol., DMIT*, 438–447. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3736426.3736494>
- Lnenicka, M., Kysela, T., & Horák, O. (2025). Building security and resilience: A guide to implementing effective cybersecurity and data protection measures in smart cities. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-09-2024-0363>
- Maat, K. (2025). Bicycling. In *Thematic Encyclopedia of Regional Science* (pp. 410–411). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800379282.00211>
- Mobini Dehkordi, A. M., Ghorashi, S. S., & Ziari, K. (2025). Catalyzing smart urban metabolism through entrepreneurial ecosystem infrastructures: A regional approach to planning and implementation. In *Digital Twins for Smart Metabolic Circular Cities: Innovations in Planning and Climate Resilience* (pp. 93–114). Elsevier; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-33333-0.00005-3>
- Ncamphalala, M., & Vyas-Doorgapersad, S. (2025). Capacity-building initiatives for improved services in South African municipalities. *OIDA International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 18(9), 11–22. Scopus.
- Nelson, K., Mohammadi, J., Chen, Y., Aved, A., Ferris, D., Blasch, E., Ardiles-Cruz, E. A., & Morrone, P. (2025). Benefits and Vulnerabilities of Managing a Growing Fleet of Networked Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 61(2), 1917–1926. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2025.3532398>
- Neves, F. R., & De Aquino, A. C. B. (2025). Bringing the Green into the Screen: Remote Sensing and Smart Green Governance in Urban Forest Management. In *Internet Things: Vol. Part F4006* (pp. 51–71). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-72732-0_4
- Nlend, B., Reimuth, A., Yang, L. E., Jampani, M., Cristiano, E., Dewals, B., Boyer, E., Daloglu Cetinkaya, I., Diémé, L. P., Dutta, R., Feng, W., Grossi, G., Ben Nasr, W., Obaitor, O. S., Olusola, A. O., Panchanathan, A., Rab, G., Sharma, S., Wang, C., ... Tetzlaff, D. (2025). Building resilient urban water systems: Emerging opportunities for solving long-lasting challenges. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 70(12), 2003–2015. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2025.2529267>
- Paseto, L., Martinez, M. R. M., Rende, R. C., Paula, R. B., & de Leon Ferreira de Carvalho, A. C. P. (2025). Brazilian Smart City Standards—Challenges and Opportunities in the Adaptation and Expansion of the SSCMM-ITU: Platform inteligente Management and Governance System for Digital Transformation and Sustainable Development. In *Synth. Lect. Comput. Sci.: Vol. Part F1069* (pp. 181–195). Springer Nature; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-95959-2_10
- Peter-King Akanzerewai, A., Takyi, S. A., Amponsah, O., & Ankrah, D. (2025). Capital city functions and sustainable city nexus: Sustainability analysis of major regional capital cities in Ghana. *Urban Governance*, 5(3), 372–385. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ugj.2025.06.002>
- Polimeno, A., Mignone, P., Braghin, C., Anisetti, M., Ceci, M., Malerba, D., & Ardagna, C. A. (2025). Balancing Protection and Quality in Big Data Analytics Pipelines. *Big Data*, 13(2), 127–143. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1089/big.2023.0065>
- Poshai, L., & Intauno, K. (2025). Building blocks for smart cities adoption and associated implementation drawbacks in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12265934.2025.2452497>
- Psatha, E. (2025). Benefits of tourism. In *Thematic Encyclopedia of Regional Science* (pp. 484–485). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800379282.00245>
- Rajamäe-Soosaar, K., & Nikiforova, A. (2025). Bridging the gap: Unravelling local government data sharing barriers in Estonia and beyond. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 56. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2024.106099>

- Tabuni, Y., Warnars, H. L., Lumban Gaol, F., & Soeparno, H. (2025). Blockchain Applications in Smart Cities for Environmental Sustainability and Tourism Enhancement. *Proceeding - Int. Conf. Creat. Commun. Innov. Technol.: Empower. Transform. MATURE LEADERSH.: Harnessing Technol. Adv. Glob. Sustain., ICCIT*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIT65724.2025.11167269>
- Thuy, T. L., Nguyen, M.-K., Bui, T. D., Hai Yen, H. P. H., Nguyen, N. T., Ngan, N. V. C., Pradiprao Khedulkar, A., Pham van, D. P., Halog, A., & Hoang, T.-D. (2025). Blockchain-Enabled Water Quality Monitoring: A Comprehensive Review of Digital Innovations and Challenges. *Water (Switzerland)*, 17(17). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w17172522>
- Tiwari, A. (2025). Beyond Automation: The Emergence of Agentic Urban AI. *Automation*, 6(3). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/automation6030029>
- Wimal, K., Cullen, G., & Donovan, J. (2025). Blockchain-Driven Smart Cities: Review of Key Applications and Emerging Trends. *Int. Conf. Adv. Innov. Smart Cities, ICAISC*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAISC64594.2025.10959451>
- Zhou, Y., Meng, M., & Gao, J. (2025). Better city, better life: Smart city policy and corporate ESG performance. *Applied Economics*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2025.2534731>

Copyright Holder :

© Hafiz Sutrisno et al. (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Rechtsnormen Journal of Law

This article is under: